Sulphinyl, Sulphonyl, and Sulphonium Groups as Leaving Groups in Aromatic Nucleophilic Substitutions

By Alfio Chisari, Emanuele Maccarone,^{*} Giovanni Parisi, and Giancarlo Perrini, Istituto Dipartimentale di Chimica e Chimica Industriale dell'Università, Viale Andrea Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

The kinetics of hydrolysis of mononitrophenyl sulphoxides, sulphones, and sulphonium methylsulphate by sodium hydroxide have been measured in 25% aqueous dioxan. The mobility order of the leaving groups (${}^{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{Me}_2 \ge \mathsf{SO} \simeq \mathsf{SO}_2$ for *p*-nitro-derivatives, and SO > SO₂ for *o*-nitro-derivatives) are discussed in terms of electronic and steric effects.

SULPHINYL, sulphonyl, and sulphonium groups are effective substituents for the activation of nucleophilic compounds.¹ reactions of halogenated aromatic Quantitative effects have been measured and discussed by comparison with those of other substituents,² but little attention has been devoted to nucleophilic substitutions where these groups behave as leaving groups. We have been interested in the kinetics of nitration of aromatic sulphoxides³ and sulphonium ion⁴ as a means of evaluating orientation in electrophilic substitutions. In this paper we report an investigation of the nucleophilic substitutions of aromatic sulphoxides, sulphones, and sulphonium salts.

The remarkable mobility of the positive pole SR_2 has been pointed out in the reactions by methoxide⁵ and hydroxide⁶ ions as nucleophiles. Bunnett and his coworkers first observed the reactivity sequence SOPh > SO₂Ph in the reaction of dinitrophenyl derivatives with piperidine in methanol.⁷ Recently, the nucleofugicity of sulphonyl halides,⁸ sulphonanilide,⁸ and sulphones⁹ has been determined for a variety of experimental conditions.

The lack of comparable data concerning the mobility of SOR, SO₂R, and $\stackrel{+}{SR_2}$ groups stimulated a kinetic study of the alkaline hydrolysis of *ortho*- and *para*-X-substituted nitrobenzenes, where X is SOMe, SOPh, SO₂Me, SO₂Ph, and $\stackrel{+}{SMe_2}$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reactions of ortho- and para-nitrophenyl sulphoxides and sulphones, and of the para-nitrophenyldimethylsulphonium ion, with an excess of sodium hydroxide at 35-75 °C in 25% aqueous dioxan yield the corresponding nitrophenols quantitatively. No byproducts arising from competitive reactions have been found. It was also checked that the sulphonium salt does not form the sulphur ylid.¹⁰ In these experimental conditions, *m*-nitrophenyl sulphoxides and sulphones are not hydrolysed. *m*-Nitrophenyldimethylsulphonium ion undergoes dealkylation to *m*-nitrophenyl methyl sulphide.

The kinetics of hydrolysis have been followed by monitoring the absorption of the sodium nitrophenolate formed (see Experimental section). The reactions are pseudo-first-order with respect to the sulphur compound to at least 75% completion. Kinetic runs carried out at various concentrations of sodium hydroxide (excess) indicate that reaction is also first-order with respect to the nucleophilic reagent. The overall second-order rate constants (k_2) were calculated from the slope of the plot of k_{obs} against the nucleophile concentration. The zero-intercept indicates the absence of neutral hydrolysis, according to the simple rate law (1).

$$k_{\rm obs} = k_2 [\rm NaOH] \tag{1}$$

Table 1 reports the values of k_{obs} on varying [NaOH] for the hydrolysis of *p*-nitrophenyldimethylsulphonium ion at 50 °C. Table 2 reports the k_2 values at various temperatures, together with the activation parameters.

TABLE 1

Pseudo-first-order and second-order rate constants for the reactions of p-nitrophenyldimethylsulphonium methylsulphate with sodium hydroxide in 25% aqueous dioxan at 50 °C

[NaOH]/м	$10^{4}k_{obs}/s^{-1}$	104k ₂ ^a /l mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
0.2	0.485	2.43
0.4	1.01	2.52
0.6	1.50	2.50
0.8	1.88	2.35
1.0	2.55	2.55
1.2	2.97	2.48
$k_{\rm r} = k_{\rm res} / [NaOH]$	The slope of	f the plot of key, age

 $\kappa_2 = \kappa_{obs/[NaOH]}$. The slope of the plot of k_{obs} against [NaOH] is $k_2 2.49 \times 10^{-4} 1 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (intercept -1×10^{-6} ; correlation coefficient 0.998).

While the hydrolysis rates of the sulphoxides and sulphones are of the same order of magnitude, that of the sulphonium ion is noticeably higher. This can be ascribed to the strong electronegativity of the positive pole, in agreement with the extended theoretical order of mobility: $X^+ > X^+ - X^- > X^0 > X^{-.5,11}$

On this basis, the reactivity order $SO_2 > SO$ might be expected, because the sulphonyl group has a stronger dipole than sulphinyl in line with the Hammett-constant values $(\sigma_{p-SO,Me} \ 0.72, \ \sigma_{p-SOMe} \ 0.49)$.¹² Actually, the following sequences have been observed: SO \simeq SO₂ for *para*-derivatives, SO > SO₂ for *ortho*-derivatives.

The mobility of the leaving groups depends on the polar and steric effects relative to the substituent and on the nucleophilic power of the attacking reagent. In our case the comparable reactivities observed for *para*derivatives could originate from a balance of electronic

TABLE 2

Second-order rate constants (k_2) and activation parameters for the reactions of X-substituted nitrobenzenes with sodium hydroxide in 25% aqueous dioxan

	$10^{5}k_{2}/1 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} a$			A 7 F+ /	4 Ct		
X 35 °C	50 °C	-^65 ℃	75 °C	$\Delta H^{*/}$ kcal mol ^{-1 b}	$-\Delta S^{i}$ cal mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ b		
o-SOMe	0.217	1.35	6.37		22.7	10.8	
o-SOPh		1.56	6.64	17.8	21.1	15.5	
o-SO ₂ Me		0.728	3.38	8.30	21.2	16.6	
o-SO ₂ Ph		0.586	2.65	6.02	20.3	19.7	
<i>p</i> -SOMe		1.38	7.14	14.2	20.5	17.4	
p-SOPh		1.06	4.93	10.4	20.0	19.6	
$p-SO_2Me$		1.44	7.13	18.4	22.2	12.2	
$p-SO_2Ph$		0.941	4.61	11.5	21.8	14.0	
$p - \dot{S}Me_2$	5.80	24.9	111		19.7	14.0	
Maximum error $\pm 7\%$.	^b Calculated at 5	50 °C. Maxin	mum error ± 0	.6 kcal mol ⁻¹ f	or ΔH^{\ddagger} and ± 3	cal mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ for \varDelta	<i>LS</i> ‡.

effects in the ground state. In fact, although the greater polarity of the sulphonyl group would increase the reactivity, the interaction of π -*d* orbitals ¹³ decreases it, diminishing the effectiveness of the nucleophilic attack on the adjacent aromatic carbon atom as in (A).



On the other hand the reduced conjugability of the aromatic sulphoxides has been demonstrated by i.r. ¹⁴ and u.v. ¹⁵ spectroscopic studies, as well as by pK_a ¹⁶ and kinetic ¹⁷ measurements.

The further decrease of the reactivity of *ortho*-substituted sulphones can be ascribed to primary steric effects which partly hinder the reagent approach. In fact, the *ortho* : *para* ratio, which is ≥ 1 for the sulphoxides, becomes <1 for the more bulky sulphones. Moreover, diphenyl derivatives are always less reactive than the corresponding methyl phenyl derivatives, owing to the additional steric hindrance.

The values of the activation parameters are close to those in the literature for compounds where X = Cl, Br, and I.¹ This may be regarded as an indication of a common two-step (addition-elimination) mechanism. Moreover, the absence of significant leaving group effects is indicative of a reaction pathway in which the first step is rate limiting.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials.—Peroxide-free 1,4-dioxan was purified by the literature method,¹⁸ kept over sodium, and distilled before use. Deionized water was used to prepare 25% (v/v) aqueous dioxan.

Sulphoxides were synthesized by oxidation of the corresponding sulphides with an equimolar amount of H_2O_2 in ethanol, in the presence of perchloric acid at 70 °C for 3 h.¹⁹ The mixture was neutralized with sodium hydrogen carbonate. After evaporation of ethanol and addition of an aqueous solution of sodium chloride, the solution was extracted by chloroform. By evaporation, the crude sulphoxide was obtained. Chromatography on an Al₂O₃ column (eluants: ligroin, benzene, chloroform) provides the sulphoxide with >99.5% purity.

Sulphones were synthesized by oxidation of the corresponding sulphides with an excess of H_2O_2 in acetic acid at 90 °C for 2 h. On addition of water the sulphone precipitates from the solution.

p-Nitrophenyldimethylsulphonium methylsulphate was obtained by methylation of the corresponding sulphide with dimethyl sulphate in slight excess at 90 °C for 2 h. The crude salt was triturated over acetone and then filtered off.²⁰

The identification and purity of the synthesized compounds were performed by m.p., u.v. spectra, and t.l.c. and g.l.c.: [X, m.p. (°C), solvent of crystallization]: o-SOMe, 101-102, benzene; ²¹ o-SOPh, 104-105, ethanol; ²² o-SO₂Me, 94, ligroin; ¹⁵ o-SO₂Ph, 145, ethanol; ²³ p-SOMe, 151-152, ethanol; ¹⁵ p-SOPh, 141, ethanol; ¹⁵ p-SO₂Me, 107-108, ethanol; ¹⁵ p-SO₂Ph, 140, aqueous ethanol; ²³

p-SMe₂, 157-158, methanol.²⁴

Kinetic Measurements.—The reactions have been followed by u.v.-visible spectrophotometry by measuring the absorbance at 421 nm for the hydrolysis of *para*-derivatives and at 407 nm for that of *ortho*-derivatives. The selected wavelengths correspond to the absorption maximum of pand *o*-nitrophenolates in 25% aqueous dioxan.

Portions of thermostatted standard solutions of the sulphur compound and sodium hydroxide were mixed in the thermostatted cell, and the absorption of the nitrophenolate formed was measured at various intervals. No interference due to the reagents was found. The initial concentrations were 0.5— 1.0×10^{-4} M for sulphur compounds and 0.2—1.2M for sodium hydroxide.

Pseudo-first-order rate constants have been calculated from the first-order rate law, using the absorbances [equation (2) where A_t is the absorbance at time t and A_{∞} is that at

$$k_{\rm obs} = \frac{2.303}{t} \log \frac{A_{\infty}}{A_{\infty} - A_t} \tag{2}$$

infinite time]. A_{∞} was also calculated from the molar extinction coefficients of the nitrophenolates in 25% aqueous dioxan: *o*-nitrophenolate, ε 5 120 at 407 nm; *p*-nitrophenolate, ε 20 300 at 421 nm.

[1/1973 Received, 22nd December, 1981]

REFERENCES

¹ J. Miller, 'Aromatic Nucleophilic Substitution,' Elsevier, Amsterdam and London, 1968.

² J. F. Bunnett and R. E. Zahler, *Chem. Rev.*, 1951, **49**, 273; S. Oae and Y. H. Khim, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1967, **40**, 1716; 1969, **42**, 1622.

³ N. Marziano, E. Maccarone, and R. Passerini, J. Chem. Soc.

J. CHEM. SOC. PERKIN TRANS. II 1982

- B, 1971, 745; N. Marziano, E. Maccarone, G. Cimino, and R. Passerini, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 1098.
- ⁴ N. Marziano, E. Maccarone, and R. Passerini, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 17.
- ⁵ B. A. Bolto and J. Miller, Aust. J. Chem., 1956, 9, 74.
- ⁶ J. Jarv, Reakts. Sposobn. Org. Soedin., Engl. Edn., 1980, 17,
- 36. ⁷ J. F. Bunnett, E. W. Garbish, jun., and K. M. Pruit, J. Am.
- ⁸ S. Sheiko and E. Mitchenko, Reakts. Sposobn. Org. Soedin.,

S. Sheko and E. Mitchenko, Active Spectrum Spect

Engl. Edn., 1975, 11, 817; Z. A. Lehrman, A. V. Ivanov, A. Y. Kaminsky, and S. S. Ghitis, Kinet. Katal., 1978, 19, 1040 (Chem.

Abstr., 1979, **90**, 86,275). ¹⁰ A. W. Johnson, 'Ylid Chemistry,' Academic Press, New York and London, 1966, p. 304.

¹¹ Ref. 1, p. 174.

¹² D. H. McDaniel and H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 1958, 23, 420.

- 14 S. Ghersetti and M. Pallotti, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1963, 98, 1000. ¹⁵ G. Leandri, A. Mangini, and R. Passerini, J. Chem. Soc., 1957, 1386.
- ¹⁶ F. G. Bordwell and P. J. Boutan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 717.
- ¹⁷ C. C. Price and J. J. Hydock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, 74, 1943.
- ¹⁸ A. I. Vogel, 'Chimica Organica Pratica,' Ambrosiana, Milan, ¹⁰ A. I. Voget, Chimica Coganities
 ¹⁹ A. Cerniani and G. Modena, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1959, 89, 843.
 ¹⁹ H. M. Gilow and G. L. Walker, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 2580.
 ¹⁰ H. M. Gilow and G. L. Walker, J. Org. Chem., 1912, 391, 70.

 - ²¹ T. Zincke and F. Farr, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1912, **391**, 70.
- ²² D. G. Foster and E. E. Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1924, 46, 1936.
- ²³ G. Leandri, A. Mangini, and R. Passerini, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1954, 84, 73.

²⁴ F. G. Bordwell and P. J. Boutan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 87.